#### **Vowels**

For Old English of the eighth-ninth centuries, we can assume for all dialects this minimal or 'core' vowel system:

Short Monophthongs		Diphthongs	Long Monophthongs		Diphthongs	
i y	u		i: y:	u:		
e (ø)	0	eo	e: (ø:)	o:	e:o	
æ	α	æa	æ:	a:	æ:a	

- 1. phonetic as well as phonological symmetry throughout the long and short systems: matchings like [u]/[u:], [i]/[i:] instead of the modern types [σ]/[u:], [ɪ]/[i:] -a feature that was to persist well into the Early Modern period;
- 2. only three contrastive heights, as opposed to the four that were to develop in the thirteenth century;
- 3.  $\langle eo \rangle = [e(:)o]$  and  $\langle ea \rangle = [æ(:)a]$  diphthongs only of the 'height-harmonic' type, i.e. with both elements of the same height, as opposed to the earlier and later closing types like /ai au/, and the much later centring types like /iə/ (idea).
- 4. front rounded vowels y(:) and ø (:) [note! ø (:) unrounded to e(:)]. y(:) and ø (:) were new phonemes in OE introduced after the operation of i-umlaut
- 5. length contrast for diphthongs (at least under traditional interpretation)
- 6. no reduction to /ə/ in unstressed positions

# OE digraphs

OE manuscripts contain the following digraph **spellings** <ea, eo, io, ie> whose interpretation has long been the subject of much controversy in OE studies.

## <ea, eo, io> represent the following OE developments:

- WGmc diphthongs \*au, \*eu, \*iu
- sounds resulting from the operation of breaking on the front vowels \*æ(:), \*e(:), \*i(:)
- sound resulting from the operation of back umlaut on short æ, e, i

## <ie> (present in early WS) represents the following development:

• the sounds developed by the operation of i-umlaut of OE diphthongs, i.e. i-umlaut of <ea, eo, io>
The original sound represented by <ie> must have monophthongised quite early as evidenced by spelling with <i> or <y> for earlier <ie>, hence earlier <hieran> 'hear' becomes <hiran> or <hyran>

### **Consonants**

The late Old English consonant system was:

stops	p	t	t∫	k	p:	t:	t∫:	k:
	b	d	d3	g	b:	d:	d3:	g:
fricatives	f θ	S	ſ	X	f: $\theta$ :	s:		x:
nasals	m	n			m:	n:		
liquids	r l				r: 1:			
glides	W		j					

### phonological patterns:

- 1. While stops and affricates were paired for voice, the fricatives were not;  $f \theta s / were in most dialects voiceless except medially in the foot (between two voiced sounds). Thus [v \delta z] appeared in native words only preceded by a stressed vowel (followed by an optional liquid or nasal) and followed by an unstressed vowel; <math>f \theta s / were$  always voiceless initially, finally and in clusters.
- 2. geminates allowed unlike in MnE
- 3. /r/ occurred in all positions
- 4. different phonotactic patterns /kn, gn, xn, xl, xr, xw, fn, wl, wr/ grammatical in OE

### phonemic inventory:

- 5. Old English had neither an /h/:/x/ contrast nor a phonemic velar nasal /ŋ/; [h] was the word initial allophone of/x/, and [ŋ] an allophone of /n/ before velars.
- 6. OE /g/ had the fricative allophone [γ] (voiced velar fricative) between back vowels as in *dagas* 'day (nom./acc. pl.)' /dagas/ = [daγas]; intervocalically if preceded by a front vowel it had the allophone [j], as in *dæge* 'day (dat. sg.)' /dæge/ = [dæje].